

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,604	07/07/2003	Naomi M. Jenkins	2000.107500/TT5487	7792
23720 7590 6404/2008 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON 10333 RICHMOND, SUTTE 1100			EXAMINER	
			VO, HIEN XUAN	
HOUSTON, T	X 77042		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/614.604 JENKINS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HIEN X. VO 2863 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4.13.16-18.20-23.25.26.38-44 and 46-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3,5-12,14,15,19,24,27-37,45,49 and 50 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Parer No(s)/Mail Date.\_\_\_ Notice of Draftsparson's Fatent Drawing Review (PTO-948).

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/614,604

Art Unit: 2863

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4, 13, 16-18, 20-23, 25-26, 38-39, 40-44, 46-48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams, III et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,740,534) in view of Allen, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7.051,250).

With respect to claims 1, 13, 16, 17, 22, 26, 38, 42, 44, 46 Adams, III et al. disclose a determination of a process flow based upon fault detection analysis including a processing tool to process a batch of workpieces (see e.g. abstract), a process controller operatively coupled to perform a tool state analysis upon said processing tool (see e.g. Fig. 3, item 310) and to perform a metrology routing adjustment process based upon said tool state analysis, said metrology routing adjustment process comprising correlating said tool state analysis to said batch of workpieces and adjusting a metrology routing based upon said correlation (see e.g. Fig. 5, item 510 and col. 6, lines 31-67, Fig.2, item 270). Adams, III et al. do not teach a dynamic metrology routing adjustment process based upon the tool state analysis, the dynamic metrology routing adjustment process further comprises correlating the tool state analysis to the batch of workpieces and adjusting a metrology routing based upon the correlation.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,604 Art Unit: 2863

Allen, Jr. et al. disclose a method and apparatus for routing workpieces based upon detecting a fault including a dynamic metrology routing adjustment process based upon the tool state analysis (see e.g. abstract), the dynamic metrology routing adjustment process further comprises correlating the tool state analysis to the batch of workpieces and adjusting a metrology routing based upon the correlation (see e.g. Figs. 1-3, ). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify system of Adams, III et al. by the routing workpieces based upon detecting a fault as taught by Allen, Jr. et al. to overcome and perform separate critical dimension measurement for each pattern formed within a semiconductor device in addition to separate overlay measurement to increased throughput, reduced cost, higher device performance.

With respect to claims 2, 4, 18, 20-21, 23, 25, 39, 40-41, 43, 47-48, Adams, III et al. disclose the invention as claimed including the process step upon the batch of workpieces further comprises performing the process step upon a batch of semiconductor wafers (see e.g. Fig.1), a database unit to store at least one of metrology data, tool state data and the electrical test data (see e.g. Fig. 3. item 340), the tool state analysis upon the processing tool further comprises acquiring tool state data (see e.g. Fig.3, item 320), the tool state data further comprises acquiring at least one of a pressure data, a temperature data, a humidity data, and a gas flow rate data relating to the process step performed upon the workpieces (see e.g. col. 4, lines 64-67).

Claims 3, 5, 6-12, 14-15, 19, 24, 27-37, 45, 49-50 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Application/Control Number: 10/614,604

Art Unit: 2863

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-50 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HIEN X. VO whose telephone number is (571)272-2282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system. call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hien Vo 03/29/08 /John E Barlow Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2863 Art Unit: 2863